## Evidence of Progress Monitoring

## School District of Indian River County <br> \#SDIRCStrongerTogether

Date: $\quad 10 / 5 / 2020$

School/Department: Alternative Center for Education
Action Step \#
and Description: 1.15_ACE_Improving Mastery of Standards - HCO, C\&I, High Schools
(If more than one action
step is evidenced here,
please include all action
step\#'s and a brief
description of each.)

| Explanation of | Standards where African American students have performed low in are identified during team data chats. This |
| :--- | :--- |
| information is pulled from diagnostics and unit assessments, then used to develop interventions and remediate. |  |
| Evidence: | The first data chat for September has already taken place and a leadership progress monitoring form has been <br> developed to identify challenging standards in all subject areas. |

## Results of Action

 Taken:Teachers are now aware of the most challenging standards. They are planning to include them in lessons where they may not be the target standards, but can still be incorporated.

Reflection: Student achievement should reflect growth on the targeted standards by the next data check.
Grade Level:
$6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$

## School Leadership Progress Monitoring Meeting <br> To be held before meeting with each grade level _ 10/1/2020 date

## Item Analysis Report by grade level

- Look at the grade level percentage for this assessment.
- Reading: Within the item analysis report look at the percentage of students selecting incorrect answers for each question. Identify questions that have a large percentage (40-50\%) of incorrect answers.
- Math: Look at the percentage of students selecting incorrect answers for each question. If fewer than $50 \%$ of the students answered a question correctly AND the question was of "Low" or "Moderate" complexity (see chart sent by Sharon or Bob) it should be re-taught. There is no need to re-teach questions with "High" cognitive complexity.
- Within the item analysis report look at each standard in the bar graph. Look for red benchmarks or the lowest benchmarks for this grade level.


## Questions the leadership team consider about this grade level:

| Reading/ELA/US History/Civics | Math/Science |
| :---: | :---: |
| What are the obvious strengths? <br> ELA $8^{\text {th }}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ grade: - $20 \%$ met threshold for Unit 1 <br> Assessment, 80\% below threshold <br> US History: N/A <br> Civics: N/A <br> Reading $8^{\text {th }}$ grade (i-Ready): $10 \%$ on grade level, $90 \%$ below grade level <br> Reading $9^{\text {th }}$ and 10 $^{\text {th }}$ grade (Achieve 3000): $30 \%$ lexile growth, 562L to 734L <br> Reading $11^{\text {th }}$ grade: N/A | What are the obvious strengths? <br> Pre-algebra $8^{\text {th }}$ grade: $60 \%$ passing rate for Unit 1 <br> Algebra: 100\% passing rate for Unit 1 <br> Geometry: 50\% passing rate for Unit 1 <br> Biology I: 73\% passing rate for Unit 1 <br> Math $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ grade (i-Ready): 0\% passing rate |
| Weaknesses? <br> ELA 8th: Vocabulary LAFS.8.L.3.4a, LAFS.8.L.3.5b, RI/RL.2.4 <br> ELA 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ : Main Idea RI.1.1, RI.1.2 <br> US History: N/A <br> Civics: N/A <br> Reading $8^{\text {th }}$ grade (i-Ready): Citing text evidence <br> LAFS.8.RI.1.1, Main Idea LAFS.8.RI.1.2, Vocabulary <br> LAFS.8.RI.2.4 <br> Reading $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade (Achieve 3000): $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ <br> Reading $11^{\text {th }}$ grade: $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Weaknesses? <br> Pre-algebra: 8.ee.3.7 (equations with decimals, variables on both sides) <br> Algebra: apr.1.1 (multiplying polynomials) <br> Geometry: gpe.2.6 (end point) <br> Biology I: comprehension <br> Math $8^{\text {th }}$ grade (i-Ready): all |
| What was the overall grade level percentage on this assessment? <br> ELA 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ : 35\% <br> ELA 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}: 41 \%$ <br> US History: N/A <br> Civics: N/A <br> Reading $8^{\text {th }}$ grade (i-Ready): 566 average ( $5^{\text {th }}$ grade) <br> Reading $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade (Achieve 3000): 77\% <br> Reading $11^{\text {th }}$ grade: $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | What was the overall grade level percentage on this assessment? <br> Pre-algebra: 48\% <br> Algebra: 61\% <br> Geometry: 64\% <br> Biology I: 73\% <br> Math $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ grade (i-Ready): 453 |



| What are the subgroup scores Biology? |  |  |  | What are the subgroup scores Pre-Algebra? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | Percentage | Subgroup | Percentage | Subgroup | Percentage | Subgroup | Percentage |
| AA | In Progress | SWD | 46 | AA | 36 | SWD | 48 |
| H | 86 | ED | 73 | H | In Progress | ED | 48 |
| W | 66 | LEP | N/A | W | 67 | LEP | N/A |
| What are the subgroup scores Algebra? |  |  |  | What are the subgroup scores Geometry? |  |  |  |
| Subgroup | Percentage | Subgroup | Percentage | Subgroup | Percentage | Subgroup | Percentage |
| AA | 50 | SWD | 61 | AA | In Progress | SWD | N/A |
| H | In Progress | ED | 61 | H | 86 | ED | 86 |
| W | 72 | LEP | N/A | W | 43 | LEP | N/A |
| What are the subgroup scores 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade Reading i-Ready? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroup | Scale Score | Subgroup | Percentage | What are the subgroup scores $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ Grade Reading Achieve 3000? |  |  |  |
| AA | 528 | SWD | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| H | N/A | ED | N/A | Subgroup | Percentage | Subgroup | Percentage |
| W | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | LEP | N/A | AA | 2 | SWD | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | H | 2 | ED | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | W | 5 | LEP | N/A |
| What are the subgroup scores $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Math i-Ready? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroup | Scale Score | Subgroup | Percentage |  |  |  |  |
| AA | 453 | SWD | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| H | N/A | ED | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| W | n/a | LEP | N/A |  |  |  |  |

## Format for meeting with each grade level:

When meeting with the grade level show them the grade level results. Ask them to identify obvious strengths \& weaknesses at their grade level.


Ask each teacher to reflect on how their own class scored in comparison to the grade level in general. Have each teacher discuss the instructional plans they have made after they reviewed the data from their own class (teacher data monitoring form side 1).

How can the grade level support each other?

What specific support can Principal provide?

## Our leadership team goal for this grade level for the next progress monitoring assessment: <br> Reading:

ELA: Eighth grade students will score at least $45 \%$ on the Unit 2 assessment. Tenth grade students will score at least 50\% on the Unit 2 assessment.

Reading: At least 50\% of eighth grade students will be one grade level by the next growth check. By December, at least $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ of ninth and tenth grade students will be on grade level.

Math: Students will score at least $55 \%$ on the pre-algebra Unit 2 assessment, $65 \%$ on the algebra Unit 2 assessment and 65\% on the geometry Unit 2 assessment.

Am History/Civics: Students will score at least $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ on the Unit $\mathbf{2}$ assessment.

Biology: Students will score at least 70\% on the Unit 2 assessment.

## Format for subsequent Data Monitoring Meeting:

## Leadership Meeting

Begin by reviewing goals set at last meeting.
Was the goal met in Reading?
Was the goal met in ELA? No
Was the goal met in Math? No
Was the goal met in Am History/Civics? N/A
Was the goal met in Biology? No

Hypothesize why or why not? Deficits in reading comprehension, attendance, maladaptive behavior and vocabulary present challenges for students.

Ask yourselves the questions on side 1 regarding the current progress monitoring results.

## Grade Level Meeting

Before the GL meeting, give each teacher a copy of the grade level results for the most recent progress monitoring (reading \& math)

Look at results for current assessment and ask teachers to analyze if the grade level goal was met.
Return to $\square$ step at the top of the page.

| Student: | ID | Race | Academic <br> Improvement Plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anderson, M |  | Black |  |
| Brown, I. |  | Black | Yes |
| Brown, L. |  | White |  |
| Dorsette, S. |  | Black |  |


| Evans, C. |  | Black |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gonzalez, J. |  | Hispanic |  |
| Grant, I. | Multi | Yes |  |
| Harbin, K. | Black |  |  |
| Harvey, B. |  | Black | Yes |
| Jones, C. |  | Black | Yes |
| Kastoriano, M. |  | White |  |
| Lubin, J. |  | Black | Yes |
| Mallamaci, J. |  | Black |  |
| Mcbride, C. |  | White | White |
| McCullough, A. |  | Race |  |
| McGarth, A. |  | White | Academic |
| Student: |  | White | Improvement Plan |
| Meeks, S. |  | White |  |
| Monroe, T. |  | White | Yes |
| Parham, T. |  | Hispanic | Yes |
| Parker, S. |  | Bispanic |  |
| Pippen, C. |  | White |  |
| Quiroz, I. |  | White |  |
| Rivera, J. |  | White |  |
| Semsey, D. |  |  | Yes |
| Smithson, J. |  |  |  |
| Stork, N. |  |  |  |
| Trusty, E. |  |  |  |
| Trusty, J. |  |  |  |
| Wesley, M. |  |  |  |
| Williams, J. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

