

Date:

10/9/2020

## **Evidence of Progress Monitoring**

## School District of Indian River County #SDIRCStrongerTogether

| School/Department:   | Dodgertown Elementary   |
|--|---|
| Action Step # and Description: (If more than one action step is evidenced here, please include all action step #'s and a brief description of each.) | 1.5 – Achievement Gap Resource Allocation   |
| Explanation of Evidence:   | Dodgertown Elementary School participated in the SDIRC Impact Review on Thursday, October 1, 2020. The School Improvement Plan was reviewed. Classes were observed by teams of district and school administrators. An Action Plan is required after each Impact Review to address achievement gap concerns and resource allocation. |
| Results of Action<br>Taken:  | As a result of participating in the SDIRC beginning of year Impact Review, Dodgertown Elementary's Leadership Team met and created an action plan to address any gaps in achievement. And, the "Hacking School Discipline" resource is no longer a necessary expense since the SDIRC has online rights to this resource.            |
| Reflection:  | So far, we have attempted to access the online resource to no avail. We will reach out again before purchasing "Hacking School Discipline".   |

## Dodgertown Elementary School ★ Impact Review (SDIRC): Quarter 1 School-Based Action Plan ★ Thursday, October 1, 2020

|   |  | Action  | Time            | Person Responsible for Monitoring Outcome                            |                        |
|---|--|---|-----------------|--|------------------------|
|   | Title  | Step(s)   | Frame           | Implementation Fidelity  | Effectiveness          |
| I | Model Planning Model Effective Collaborative Planning          | Instructional Coaches will use the new planning protocol to model effective planning  | 1. Each<br>week | 1. Principal   | 1. Leadershi<br>p Team |
|   | Address Feedback from the Impact Review: #1) Lessons #2) Tasks | 2. Plan standards-aligned, grade level, rigorous  lessons and tasks for whole/small group instruction a. Week 1: Design ELA lessons/tasks b. Week 2: Design Math lessons/tasks c. Week 3: Review student ELA products         | 2. Each<br>week | Principal, Leadership Team, Teachers     (Homeroom and ESE Teachers) | 2. Leadershi<br>p Team |
|   | #3) Questioning  | d. Week 4: Review student Math products  3. Plan/design quality standards-aligned Higher Order Thinking Questions (HOTQs).  | 3. Each week    | Principal, Leadership Team, Teachers     (Homeroom and ESE Teachers) | 3. Leadershi p Team    |
|   | #3) Questioning  | 4. Plan to <u>release</u> rigorous grade level, standards-<br>aligned <u>tasks</u> <u>to students</u> . (Be the "guide on the<br>side" not the "sage on the stage".)  | 4. Each<br>week | Principal, Leadership Team, Teachers     (Homeroom and ESE Teachers) | 4. Leadershi<br>p Team |
|   | #3) Questioning  | 5. Plan to use "close reading strategies" such as the deliberate annotation of text.  | 5. Each<br>week | 5. Principal, Leadership Team, Teachers (Homeroom and ESE Teachers)  | 5. Leadershi<br>p Team |
|   | #4) Monitoring   | 6. Plan to use deliberate methods to check for 1) understanding and 2) standards mastery. Plan to answer the following questions, "How do you know when a student is not mastering the standards? What will you do about it?" | 6. Each<br>week | 6. Principal, Leadership Team, Teachers (Homeroom and ESE Teachers)  | 6. Leadershi<br>p Team |
|   | #5) Accountable Talk   | 7. Plan student discourse opportunities to allow <u>all</u> students to demonstrate their understanding of the standard, including team roles that hold all students accountable.   | 7. Each<br>week | 7. Principal, Leadership Team, Teachers (Homeroom and ESE Teachers)  | 7. Leadershi<br>p Team |
|   | On/Above Grade Level Students                                  | 8. Include students who are "on" or "above" grade level in enrichment activities  | 8. Each week    | 8. Principal, Leadership Team, Teachers (Homeroom and ESE Teachers)  | 8. Leadershi p Team    |